

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re: Nationalization of PCT/GB2004/005195

Inventor: George Gallagher et al.

Group Art Unit: 3767

Serial No: 10/582,318

Examiner:  
Bhisma Mehta

USPTO

filings date: 9 June 2006

International

Application

Filing Date: 8 December 2004

For: SYRINGE DRIVER HOUSING

Charles N. Quinn

Reg. No. 27,223

Fox Rothschild LLP

747 Constitution Drive, Suite 100

Exton, PA 19341

Tel: 610-458-4984

Fax: 215-299-2150

Email: [cquinn@foxrothschild.com](mailto:cquinn@foxrothschild.com)

Deposit Account: 50-1943

U.S.P.T.O. Customer No. 34,661

MAIL STOP: PCT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION OF 4 JUNE 2009**

**Introductory Comments**

This Amendment is submitted in response to an Official Action bearing a mailing date of 4 June 2009 in which the examiner rejected claims 1 through 11 as then pending in the application, raised an objection to the specification, raised an objection to the drawings filed with the application, acknowledged the claim for foreign priority made under 35 USC 119 but raised a

question concerning the same, and further noted that certified copies of the priority documents had been received.

Applicant traverses the objection to the drawings and requests reconsideration of the drawings in the form they were filed.

Applicant traverses the objection to the specification made by the examiner and requests reconsideration of the specification in the form it was filed and in the form amended herein.

Applicant traverses the rejection of the claims made by the examiner under 35 USC 112 and under 35 USC 102 and requests reconsideration of the claims in the form they were filed and in the form to which they have been amended in this paper.

Applicant respectfully submits that when the amendments to the specification and to the claims are carefully considered by the examiner, and when the claims newly presented herein are carefully considered by the examiner, with all such consideration being made in light of the “Remarks in Support of Patentability” section of this paper, the examiner will be persuaded that the objections to the drawings and to the specification, and the rejection of the claims, should all be withdrawn and this application should be passed forward for allowance.